


are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objection to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. The Court therefore adopts the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 31) as the findings of this Court.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report (Docket No. 31) be **ADOPTED** and that the above-styled civil action be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of federal subject-matter jurisdiction. All pending motions are **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **4th** day of **December, 2019**.



JEREMY D. KERNODLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE